



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,057	03/07/2006	Hisashi Ohtsuki	1761.1085	9073
21171 7590 10/09/2008 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER HANNON, THOMAS R	
			ART UNIT 3656	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/09/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/571,057

Applicant(s)

OHTSUKI ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas R. Hannon

Art Unit

3682

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 August 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 August 2008 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-85/86)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/25/08
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al. US 6,280,096 in view of: Murden US 2,126,912, Brawley US 4,060,290, Sawai et al US 5,577,323, and Yasuda et al US 5,261,159, individually.

With respect to claims 1 and 4, Miyazaki discloses a wheel support bearing assembly for rotatably supporting an automotive wheel relative to a vehicle body structure, which assembly comprises an outer member (4) having an outer periphery formed with a flange (17) and also having an inner periphery formed with raceway surfaces (15, 16); an inner member (2, 3) formed with raceway surfaces (7, 9) confronting the associated raceway surfaces in the outer member; double rows of rolling elements (5) interposed between the raceway surfaces in the inner member and the raceway surfaces in the outer member, respectively; and a sealing unit (28, 29) for sealing opposite open ends of an annular bearing space delimited between the outer and inner members; wherein the inner member includes a hub axle (2) formed with one of the raceway surfaces and a wheel mounting flange (6); the other of the raceway surfaces of the inner member is formed on an inner race segment (3) that is mounted on an outer periphery of one end of the hub axle. With respect to claims 2 and 5, Miyazaki discloses a wheel support bearing assembly for rotatably supporting an automotive wheel relative to a vehicle body structure (Figures 9 and 10), which assembly comprises an outer member (4) having an inner periphery formed with raceway surfaces (15, 16); an inner member (2) formed with raceway surfaces (7, 9) confronting

the associated raceway surfaces in the outer member; dual rows of rolling elements (5) interposed between the raceway surfaces in the inner member and the raceway surfaces in the outer member, respectively; and a sealing unit (28, and unnumbered seal on right side of figures) for sealing opposite open ends of an annular bearing space delimited between the outer and inner members; wherein the inner member includes a hub axle (2) formed with one of the raceway surfaces (7) and a wheel mounting flange (6); the other of the raceway surfaces of the inner member is formed on an inner race segment (3) that is mounted on an outer periphery of one end of the hub axle. With respect to claims 3 and 6, Miyazaki discloses a wheel support bearing assembly for rotatably supporting a wheel relative to a vehicle body structure (figures 14-16), which assembly comprises an outer member (4) having an outer periphery formed with a flange (17) and also having an inner periphery formed with raceway surfaces (15, 16); an inner member (2) formed with raceway surfaces (7, 9) confronting the associated raceway surfaces in the outer member; dual rows of rolling elements (5) interposed between the raceway surfaces in the inner member and the raceway surfaces in the outer member; and a sealing unit (28, 29) for sealing opposite open ends of an annular bearing space delimited between the outer and inner members; the inner member includes two inner races (41, 3) having a respective raceway surfaces confronting the raceway surfaces provided in the outer member.

Murden, Brawley, Sawai et al., and Yasuda et al. each disclose bearing races in which the angle of fiber flow relative to each of the raceway surfaces parallel i.e., is chosen to be smaller than 15°. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to minimize the angle of the fiber flow relative to the raceway surfaces of Miyazaki

for the desired purpose of improving the bearing life as taught and suggested by each of Murden, Brawley, Sawai et al., and Yasuda et al.

With respect to claims 7 and 8, Miyazaki discloses the hub axle being made of bearing steel having a carbon content within the range claimed.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al. US 6,280,096 in view of: Murden US 2,126,912, Brawley US 4060290, Sawai et al US 5,577,323, and Yasuda et al US 5,261,159, individually as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Takemura et al. JP 2003-097569. Takemura discloses a wheel bearing in which the outer member is made of bearing steel having a carbon content within the range claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the outer member of Miyazaki of known bearing metals, including that taught and suggested by Takemura.

Applicant's arguments filed August 11, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states "In the Office Action, the Examiner relies on Murden, Brawley, Sawai and Yasuda to disclose bearing races in which the angle of fiber flow relative to each raceway surface is parallel, i.e., is chosen to be smaller than 15°." This is not disputed. Applicant states "each of the references relied on by the Examiner only disclose fiber flows extending parallel to the raceway surfaces...However it is respectfully submitted that none of the references relied upon disclose or suggest fiber flows that are cut off, or fiber flows that are exposed on raceway surfaces as recited in claims 1-3." The limitations in claims 1-3, as outlined by Applicant in the remarks are not directed to "fiber flows that are cut off or fiber flows that are

exposed on raceway surfaces". The claimed limitations are directed to an angle of fiber flow below 15°, which is taught by the prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard WL Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas R. Hannon/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656